

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,095	02/03/2004	Mark T. Martin	11558.4	2570
75	90 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	David B. Tingey  VIRTON & McCONKIE			A, JES F
	emple, Suite 1800		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Salt Lake City,			3727	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comments	10/772,095	MARTIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
····	Jes F. Pascua	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, munication. ) days, a reply within the statutory minimum withory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will, by statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communities and the communities of	nication
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected or b) to the drawing(s) be held in about the correction is required if the drawing	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No neen received in this National Stag	i <b>e</b>
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Pape PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	)

Application/Control Number: 10/772,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a bag pack, classified in class 383, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method for using one or more bags, classified in class 53, subclass unknown.
- III. Claims 19-25, drawn to method of manufacturing a bag pack, classified in class 493, subclass unknown.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as forming a second bag over the first bag.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as packaging items (e.g. sharp objects, heavy objects, liquids) that require the strength of multiple bag layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,095

Art Unit: 3727

4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Subsequent to electing a single invention from Groups I-III above, applicant is notified that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: IV. Fig. 1

V. Fig. 2

VI. Fig. 3

VII. Fig. 4

VIII. Fig. 5

IX. Fig. 6

X. Fig. 7

XI. Fig. 8

XII. Fig. 9

Application/Control Number: 10/772,095

Art Unit: 3727

XIII. Fig. 10

XIV. Fig. 11

XV. Fig. 12

XVI. Fig. 13

XVII. Fig. 14

XVIII. Fig. 15

XIX. Figs. 16-17

XX. Fig. 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,095 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,095

Art Unit: 3727

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

**JFP**